**“How to use” guide for the River Restoration Centre’s monitoring Protocol:**

**Key:**

* **Target/why –** What is the overall objective of the works which are to be monitored?
* **What –** What are you trying to observe from your monitoring? E.g. increased sinuosity and habitat heterogeneity through re-meandering and adding large wood / reduction in nutrient inputs by installing SuDS.
* **How –** What techniques are being used to collect data and what assessment methods are you using? E.g. electro-fishing monitoring diversity, abundance, density, length and age.
* **When –** When are you collecting data (month/season)? Duration/length of monitoring period, how many sampling repeats, how regularly?
* **Who –** Who is the individual and/or organisation responsible for monitoring? Will this be done by more than one organisation?
* **Data –** Do you have access to any pre-project data? E.g. monitoring data from the Environment Agency.
* **Cost –** Cost of monitoring. Are all costs in kind, or are there expenditures for e.g. external lab analysis.
* **Which WFD objective is this helping to achieve –** Which WFD quality element will be addressed by your works? If not WFD, does the work/undertaking aim to improve favourable conditions (for designated sites or species, e.g. SSSI/SAC/SPA/BAP) or does it relate to any other policy drivers (e.g. public engagement, socio-economics, flood management, ecosystem services)
* **Priority and confidence:**Priority: High/Medium/Low importance that your monitoring method can show potential improvement of the related WFD quality element; the favourable condition (i.e. designated site or species such as SSSI, SAC, SPA, BAP); and/or other policy drivers (e.g. socio-economics, flood management, ecosystem services).
Confidence: High/Medium/Low confidence that the monitoring is robust, suitable and has the potential to show what you are trying to observe within the CRF project time limit.
* **On target –** Are the monitoring tasks outlined running to schedule? If no, why not?

| **Target/Why**What is the overall objective of the works which are to be monitored? | **What**What are you trying to observe from your monitoring? | **How**What methods are you going to use? | **When**What periods over the year and how often? (to indicate variability)And where if possible | **Who**Who is going to do this? | **Data**What existing data is available in addition to the monitoring being outlined here | **Cost**(can be in kind) | **Which WFD quality element is this helping to achieve?****If not WFD specify (e.g. SSSI, SAC, BAP or other policy driver)** | **Priority**High/medium/low linked to WFD or other designation  | **On target**Are the monitoring tasks outlined running to schedule?(if no specify)NOTE- can use RRC update questionnaires as a start. | **Key reporting tool and reporting output** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Confidence** High/medium/low robustness of monitoring |
| **Will be different for each project – what is the project aim for the area being photographed?**  | A visual change in (please specify) as a result of (please specify) | Fixed point photography – for methodology, refer to RRC’s Practical river monitoring guidance (2011)X number of photos (state if known) & indicate if RRC have been provided with a map of points (Y/N) | E.g. Before, immediately after and post works recommended (state dates if known, e.g. month and year) | Project team/ Volunteers | State if fixed point photography or any anecdotal/ ad-hoc photography prior to CRF | Through project/ In-kind | State which of the following, the FPP demonstrates: a) WFD targets, b) designated river or c) other e.g. social science targets | Priority: Please state (only grey if High) | Yes/ No | A time-series of fixed point photographsState if any other analysis is being done |
| Confidence: Please state (only grey if High) |

* **Reporting tool and reporting output –** How will your collected monitoring data be recorded and the analysis outputs reported?

**Example of Fixed Point Photography:**

| **Target/Why**What is the overall objective of the works which are to be monitored? | **What**What are you trying to observe from your monitoring? | **How**What methods are you going to use? | **When**What periods over the year and how often? (to indicate variability)And where if possible | **Who**Who is going to do this? | **Data**What existing data is available in addition to the monitoring being outlined here | **Cost**(can be in kind) | **Which WFD quality element is this helping to achieve?**If not WFD specify (e.g. SSSI, SAC, BAP or other policy driver) | **Priority**High/medium/low linked to WFD or other designation | **On target**Are the monitoring tasks outlined running to schedule?(if no specify)NOTE- can use rrc update questionnaires as a start. | **Key reporting tool and reporting output** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Confidence** High/medium/low robustness of monitoring  |
| **Reduce diffuse agricultural pollution from farm yards in the Pow Beck catchment through targeted capital projects** | Reduction in overall nutrient load from farm yards | Farm surveys with a control site in Pow Beck catchmentCalculation of reduction of slurry volume/contaminated water/clean water  | pre-project (baseline) and post-project (2015)At each farm yard  | ERT (Eden Rivers Trust) | Catchment Sensitive Farming farm surveys | In kind (staff time) | WFD - failure for fish  | Priority: Medium (not directly linked to reason for WFD failure-chemical quality unknown)  | Yes | Assessed at focus group and in end of project evaluation Report to be carried out by project manager.  |
| Confidence: High |
| **Improve fish passage and fish numbers in the Pow Beck catchment**  | Increase in fish numbers and access to habitat | Semi-quantitative electrofishing  | July 2013 at three locations within the pow catchment | ERT assisted by Lancaster university student in first year, subsequent years volunteers will be used. | EA electrofishing data  | In kind  | WFD - failure for fish  | Priority: High  | Yes | As above  |
| Confidence: High |
| **Improve riparian habitat via targeted habitat restoration (inc fencing, tree planting, LWD installation)** | An improvement in the ecosystem of the catchment as a whole, improving robustness to change  | Walkover surveys to assess habitat quality | Pre and post works at individual project sites | ERT | Some photographic evidence available through DTC project. | In kind (staff time) | WFD- failure for fish | Priority: Medium  | Yes  | As above  |
| Confidence: High |
| Fixed Point Photography | Pre-works, during-works, immediately post and post-works (2015), as a minimum.At location of habitat improvement works  | Confidence: High |
| Priority: High |